



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | F                     | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 09/896,471   | 09/896,471 06/29/2001 |            | Mary F. Hollinger    | 60027.0004US01/BS00318 | 9254             |
| 39262  | 7590                  | 02/28/2006 |                      | EXAMINER               |                  |
| BELLSOUTH CORPORATION<br>P.O. BOX 2903<br>MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903 |                       |            |                      | LIN, WEN TAI           |                  |
|  |                       |            |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                       |            |                      | 2154                   |                  |

DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commons  | 09/896,471   | HOLLINGER ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Wen-Tai Lin  | 2154  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  11 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE | N.<br>nely filed<br>the mailing date of this communication.<br>D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ja</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise.</li> </ol>  | action is non-final.<br>ace except for formal matters, pro   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-6,8,10-17 and 20-22 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,8,10-17 and 20-22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction in the original sheet of the original sheet or th | r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj                         | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                                 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  | · —  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)  Other:   |  |   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 09/896,471 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-6, 8, 10-17 and 20-22 are presented for examination.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, USC code not included in this action can be found

in the prior Office Action.

3. In response to Applicant's request for evidentiary support, it is noted that the prior art of

Doherty [U.S. PGPub 20040190699] is being used as a secondary reference replacing the

previous Official Notice.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 1-6, 8, 10-17 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Gabbita et al.(hereafter "Gabbita")[U.S.Pat. No. 6349238] in view of Doherty [U.S. PGPub

20040190699].

5. As to claim 1, Gabbita teaches the invention substantially as claimed including: an

appointment setting system for assigning a service order to a network resource [e.g., col.2, lines

29-57], comprising:

Art Unit: 2154

an appointment negotiator [e.g., 134, 136 (LSC), Fig.1C] operative to receive a service order from a customer and deliver an appointment confirmation and an appointment rejection to the customer [e.g., steps 7-9, 19, Fig.4; col.18, lines 35-50; note that, by default, confirmation/rejection of an original service order must be sent to the customer];

a dispatch database operative to maintain a dispatch database record of appointments previously assigned to the network resource [104, Fig.1; col.4, lines 56-61];

an appointment control system operative to receive the service order from the appointment negotiator [204, Fig.2];

determine whether the network resource can fulfill the service order [206 -212, Fig.2]; and

assign an appointment associated with the service order to the network resource and send an appointment confirmation to the appointment negotiator in response to a determination that the network resource can fulfill the service order [214-220, Fig.2];

determine whether a change has occurred to the dispatch database record associated with the network resources; determine whether the change affects the appointment associated with the service orders in response to a determination that a change to the dispatch database record associated with the network resource has occurred; and reassign the appointment associated with the service order to another network resources in response to a determination that the change to the dispatch record associated with the network resource affects the appointment associated with the service order [col.18, lines 51-64; note that detecting changes of resources and reassigning tasks to other available resources is part of the load balancing effort].

Application/Control Number: 09/896,471

Art Unit: 2154

Gabbita disclosed that authorized users might log into the system and reassigned the work steps as necessary. Gabbita does not specifically teach that reassigning the appointment for the above load balancing steps is performed automatically.

Page 4

However, in the same field of endeavor, Doherty teaches a system for automatically provisioning telecommunication services with the capability of rescheduling an installation service when certain resources (e.g., technicians) become unavailable [Abstract; paragraphs 9, 28 35 and 38].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the load balancing steps (for redistributing resources) in Gabbita's system can also be performed automatically because the system has already automated a majority of the work steps [e.g., 414, Fig.4; col.14, lines 56-64; col.19, lines 41-53] and by allowing the system to automatically perform the reassignment steps it would facilitate the work progress and reduce human errors.

- 6. As to claim 2, Gabbita further teaches that the appointment control system is a computer-implemented system that is electronically accessible by the appointment negotiator [LSAT, Fig.1A; Fig.1B].
- 7. As to claims 3-5, Gabbita further teaches that the dispatch database record is an electronic record comprising a network resource schedule, a set of tasks to which the network resource can be assigned and appointments assigned to another network resource [104, Fig. 1; col.4, lines 56-61].

Application/Control Number: 09/896,471

Art Unit: 2154

8. As to claims 6 and 8, Gabbita further teaches that the appointment control system is further operative to determine deliver the appointment rejection to the appointment negotiator, in response to a determination that the network resource cannot fulfill the service order and would thereby affect the original appointment confirmation [e.g., 350-356, Fig.3; col.21, lines 44-51].

Page 5

- 9. As to claim 10, Gabbita further teaches that the appointment control system is further operative to receive the service order from a third party through a third party gateway [e.g., 118-120, Fig.1A; i.e., the third party residing on anywhere in the Internet can be connected to the appointment control system LSAT].
- 10. As to claim 11, since the features of this claim can also be found in claims 1-6, 8 and 10, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-6, 8 and 10 above.
- 11. As to claims 14-15, Gabbita further teaches that the step of determining whether a network resource can fulfill the service order comprises accessing the dispatch database to determine whether the network resource can be near the appointment location approximately at the appointment time or is committed to another service order at the appointment time [note that this is an inherent process in arranging an appointment].
- 12. As to claim 16, Gabbita further teaches that the step of updating a dispatch database to reflect a reduction in a capacity value associated with the network resource comprises modifying

Art Unit: 2154

a dispatch database record to indicate a commitment of the network resource to perform the service task at the appointment time and at the appointment location [note that it is inherent that Gabbita's database maintenance process needs to update its content after an appointment is made].

- 13. As to claims 12-13, 17 and 20-22, since the features of these claims can also be found in claims 1-6, 8, 10-11 and 14-15, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1-6, 8, 10-11 and 14-15 above.
- 14. Applicant's arguments filed on 1/17/2006 for claims 1-6, 8, 10-17 and 20-22 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.
- 15. In the remarks Applicant argues that: (i) Gabbita fails to disclose sending an appointment confirmation (or rejection) to the appointment negotiator and to the customer (who requested the appointment); and (ii) Applicant request evidentiary support for the Official Notice taken in rejecting the feature of automatically reassigning an appointment in view of resource changes.

In response to argument point (i): Applicant is reminded that in the previous (and current) office action the feature of confirming/rejecting an appointment is considered <u>inherent</u> in Gabbita's system (in view of the system's characteristic disclosed, e.g., in Abstract lines 16-23). In other words, the office actions take the stand that Gabbita's appointment-making step must be able to confirm/reject an appointment to a customer who requests for the service.

Art Unit: 2154

In response to argument point (ii): see paragraphs 3 and 5 above.

16. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

17. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

Conclusion

Examiner note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as

applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations

are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the

individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from

the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially

teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the contest of the passage as taught by the

prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wen-Tai Lin whose telephone number is (571)272-3969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday(8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (571)272-3964. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(571)273-8300 for official communications; and

(571)273-3969 for status inquires draft communication.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wen-Tai Lin

February 21, 2006

hlen Jan Kr